Data Submission DCI Number: GDCI-128922-1549 **Data Call-In Information** SHARDA CROPCHEM LIMITED Company Name Company Address P.O. Box 640 HOCKESSIN, DE 19707 DCI Type Generic **Issued Date** 09/18/2015 90-Day Response Deadline 12/27/2015 **CRM** Information St. Clair, Katherine **Chemical Name Imazethapyr Chemical Number** 128922 **Data Submission Information** Tracking Number CDX_DCI_2016_000308 **DCI Level Documents Submitted Date** File Name **MRID** CBI File Type **Submission Cover Letter** 09/20/2016 Cover Ltr.pdf N.A. Ν **EPA Product Registration Number(s)** 82633-23 **EPA Product Registration Documents: 82633-23 MRID** CBI **Submitted Date** File Name File Type 82633-23 - Basic CSF Form 8570-4 Confidential Statement of N.A. Υ 09/20/2016 **Formula** - 18Dec2014.pdf Offer to Pay letters N.A. **General Correspondences** Ν 09/20/2016 14Jan2015.pdf Offer to Cost Share **General Correspondences** N.A. 09/20/2016 Ν 8570-32.pdf **Certification Statement** EPA Form 8570-**General Correspondences** N.A. Ν 09/20/2016 34.pdf **SHARDA GDCI-**128922-1549 Imazetl **General Correspondences** N.A. 09/20/2016 Ν Cover Letter and Attachments 24.pdf SHARDA GDCI-128922-1549 Imazetl **General Correspondences** N.A. 09/20/2016 Ν Cover Letter and Attachments 23.pdf

Agent letter 4Nov2010.pdf	Compan	npany Letter N.A. N 09/20/2016					
SHARDA GDCI- 128922-1549 Imazetl Cover Letter and Attachments 22.pdf	General Correspondences		N.A.	N	09/20/2016		
EPA app form.pdf	General	Correspondences	N.A.	N	09/20/2016		
Guideline Requirement Number(s)							
Guideline Requirement	Number -	850.3020					
Study Title	H	oney bee acute contact toxicity					
Protocol	N						
Target Submission Date	€ 09	/18/2016					
Use Pattern	T;	T; A; C					
Test Substance	TO	TGAI					
Time Frame	12	12 month(s)					
Footnote(s)	O ht 3.	2. USEPA. 2012a. "Honey Bee Acute Contact Toxicity" Ecological Effects Test Guidelines OCSPP 850.3020. EPA 712-C-019 Web: http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=EPA-HQ-OPPT-2009-0154-0016 3. To be conducted with imazethapyr TGAI or imazethapyr ammonium salt TGAI. 10. Study is to be conducted with adult worker bees. 14. See also OECD 214: OECD.1998b. OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals. Test Number 214, Acute Contact Toxicity Test. http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-214-honey bees-acute-contact-toxicity-test_9789264070189-en;jsessionid=43gvto47wnue9.delta					
Registrant Response	N.	N.A.					
Guideline Requirement	Number -	850.3040					
Study Title	Fi	Field testing for pollinators					
Protocol	Y	Υ					
Target Submission Date	9 09	09/18/2017					
Use Pattern	T;	A; C					
Test Substance	TE	TEP					
Time Frame	24	24 month(s)					

Footnote(s)	1. USEPA. 2012c. "Field Testing for Pollinators." Ecological Effects Test Guidelines OCSPP 850.3040. EPA 712-C-017. Web. http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=EPA-HQ-OPPT-2009-0154-0018 4. To be conducted with imazethapyr TEP or imazethapyr ammonium salt TEP. 7. The need for a field test for pollinators will be determined based upon lower-tiered tests and/or other lines of data and the need for a refined pollinator risk assessment. 13. See information and guidance identified in the EPA documents, (i) USEPA. 2012. White Paper in Support of the Proposed Risk Assessment Process for Bees. Submitted to the FIFRA Scientific Advisory Panel for Review and Comment September 11 - 14, 2012. Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention Office of Pesticide Programs Environmental Fate and Effects Division, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington DC; Environmental Assessment Directorate, Pest Management Regulatory Agency, Health Canada, Ottawa, CN; California Department of Pesticide Regulation http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2012-0543-0004; (ii) 2014 Guidance for Assessing Pesticide Risks to Bees. Office of Pesticide Programs United States Environmental Protection Agency, Health Canada Pest Management Regulatory Agency, California Department of Pesticide Regulation. June 19, 2014. http://www2.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2014-06/documents/pollinator_risk_assessment_guidance_06_19_14.pdf 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt of this DCI.
Registrant Response	N.A.
Guideline Requirement Num	ber - 850.4100
Study Title	Seedling Emergence and Seedling Growth
Protocol	N
Target Submission Date	09/18/2016
Use Pattern	T; A; C
Test Substance	TEP
Time Frame	12 month(s)
Footnote(s)	5. To be conducted with imazethapyr TEP and imazethapyr ammonium salt TEP. 23. Data are required for six species of dicots from at least four families, one species of which is soybean (Glycine max). Data are required for four species of monocots from at least two families, one species which is corn (Zea mays). At least one of either the monocot or dicot species must be a root crop. 27. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is to establish both a definitive No Observed Adverse Effect Concentration (NOAEC), or alternatively, a concentration at which there is a 5% observed inhibition effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination for endangered or threatened species (listed species), and a definitive IC25 (concentration at which there is a 25% observed inhibition effect) for assessing risk to non-listed nontarget plants. If any adverse effects are observed in a Tier I study and neither a definitive NOAEC nor a definitive IC05 value is available, then the Agency may have to presume in its effects determination, that imazethapyr "may affect" and is "likely to adversely affect" listed plant species.
Registrant Response	N.A.
0 11 11 D 1 1 1	ber - 850.4150
Guideline Requirement Num	
Study Title	Vegetative Vigor

is soybean (Glycine max). Data are required for four species of monocots from at least two families, one species which is corn (Zea mays). At least one of either the monocot or dicot species must be a root crop. 27. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study awell. The purpose of a Tier II study is to establish both a definitive No Observed Adverse Effect Concentration (NOAEC), or alternatively, a concentration at which there is a 5% observed in hibition effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination for endangered or threatened species (listed species), and a definitive IC25 (concentration at which there is a 25% observed inhibition effect) for assessing risk to non-listed nontarget plants. If any adverse effects are observed in a Tier I study and neither a definitive NOAEC nor edinitive IC05 value is available, then the Agency may have to presume in its effects determination, that imazethapyr "may affect" and is "likely to adversely affect" listed plant species. Registrant Response N.A. Guideline Requirement Number - 850.4400 Study Title Aquatic Plant Toxicity Using Lemna spp Protocol N Target Submission Date 09/18/2016 Use Pattern T; A; C Test Substance COMMENT Time Frame 12 month(s) 11. Study is required to be conducted using the degradate CL266858 as the test substance. 24. Data are required for a duckweed species. 26. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study and neither Effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination for endangered or threatened species (listed species), and a definitive IC50 (c	Target Submission Date	09/18/2016
Time Frame 12 month(s) 5. To be conducted with imazeshapyr TEP and imazeshapyr ammonium salt TEP. 23. Data are required for six species of dicots from at least four families, one species of which is soybean (Glyrine max). Data are required for four species of monocots from at least two families, one species which is conformed from the monocot of dicot apprecia must be a root crop. 27. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier I study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study and the stempton of the stem	Use Pattern	T; A; C
S. To be conducted with imazethapyr TEP and imazethapyr ammonium salt TEP. 23. Data are required for six species of dicots from at least four families, one species which is soybean (Glychen max). Data are required for four species of monocots from at least two families, one species which is corn (Zea mays). At least one of either the monocot or dicot species must be a root crop. 27. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study well in the second of the purpose of the Tier II study as well. The purpose of the tier observed in a Tier II study as well and the Tier II study as well. The purpose of the Tier II study as well. The purpose of the Tier II study as well. The purpose of the Tier II study as well. The purpose of the Tier II study as well. The purpose of the T	Test Substance	TEP
23. Data are required for six species of dicots from at least four families, one species of which is sory bean (Glychen max). Data are required for four species of monoctos from at least two families, one species which is corn (Zea mays). At least one of either the monocot or dicot species must be a root crop. 27. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is to establish both a definitive No Doserved Adverse Effect Concentration (NOAEC), or alternatively, a concentration at which there is a 5% observed inhibition effect (C05), or be used in a risk assessment and effects determination for endangered or threatmed species (listed species), and a definitive NO Ects determination for endangered or threatmed species (listed species), and a tellinitive IC25 concentration at which there is a 25% observed inhibition effect) for assessing risk to non-listed nontarget plants. If any adverse effects are observed in a Tier I study and neither a definitive NOAEC, or a definitive IC05 value is available, then the Agency may have to presume in its effects determination, that imazethapyr "may affect," and is "likely to adversely affect," listed plant species. Registrant Response N.A. Guideline Requirement Number - 850.4400 Study Title Aquatic Plant Toxicity Using Lemna spp Protocol N Target Submission Date 09/18/2016 Use Pattern T; A; C Test Substance Comment T; A; C Test Substance COMMENT Time Frame 12 month(s) 11. Study is required to be conducted using the degradate CL266858 as the test substance. 2x. Data are required for a duckweed species. 22. Data are required for a duckweed species. 23. Data are required for a full risk tudy may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study is to establish both a definitive NO Observed Adverse Effect Concentration (NOAEC), or be used in a risk asses	Time Frame	12 month(s)
Guideline Requirement Number - 850.4400 Study Title Aquatic Plant Toxicity Using Lemna spp Protocol N Target Submission Date 09/18/2016 Use Pattern T; A; C Test Substance COMMENT Time Frame 12 month(s) 11. Study is required to be conducted using the degradate CL266858 as the test substance. 24. Data are required for a duckweed species. 26. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is to establish both a definitive No Observed Adverse Effect Concentration (NOAEC), or alternatively, a concentration at which there is a 5% observed inhibition effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination for endangered or threatened species (listed species), and a definitive IC50 (concentration at which there is a 50% observed inhibition effect) for assessing risk to non-listed nontarget plants. If any adverse effects are observed in a Tier I study and neither a definitive NOAEC nor a definitive IC05 value is available, then the Agency may have to presume in its effects determination, that imazethapyr "may affect" and is "likely to adversely affect" listed plant species. Registrant Response N.A. Guideline Requirement Number - SS-1108 Study Title Honey bee acute oral toxicity	Footnote(s)	23. Data are required for six species of dicots from at least four families, one species of which is soybean (Glycine max). Data are required for four species of monocots from at least two families, one species which is corn (Zea mays). At least one of either the monocot or dicot species must be a root crop. 27. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is to establish both a definitive No Observed Adverse Effect Concentration (NOAEC), or alternatively, a concentration at which there is a 5% observed inhibition effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination for endangered or threatened species (listed species), and a definitive IC25 (concentration at which there is a 25% observed inhibition effect) for assessing risk to non-listed nontarget plants. If any adverse effects are observed in a Tier I study and neither a definitive NOAEC nor a definitive IC05 value is available, then the Agency may have to presume in its effects determination, that imazethapyr
Protocol N	Registrant Response	N.A.
Protocol N Target Submission Date 09/18/2016 Use Pattern T; A; C Test Substance COMMENT Time Frame 12 month(s) 11. Study is required to be conducted using the degradate CL266858 as the test substance. 24. Data are required for a duckweed species. 26. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is to establish both a definitive No Observed Adverse Effect Concentration (NOAEC), or alternatively, a concentration at which there is a 5% observed inhibition effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination for endangered or threatened species (listed species), and a definitive IC50 (concentration at which there is a 50% observed inhibition effect) for assessing risk to non-listed nontarget plants. If any adverse effects are observed in a Tier I study and neither a definitive NOAEC nor a definitive IC05 value is available, then the Agency may have to presume in its effects determination, that imazethapyr "may affect" and is "likely to adversely affect" listed plant species. Registrant Response N.A. Guideline Requirement Number - SS-1108 Study Title Honey bee acute oral toxicity	Guideline Requirement Numl	per - 850.4400
Target Submission Date Use Pattern T; A; C Test Substance COMMENT Time Frame 12 month(s) 11. Study is required to be conducted using the degradate CL266858 as the test substance. 24. Data are required for a duckweed species. 26. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is to establish both a definitive No Observed Adverse Effect Concentration (NOAEC), or alternatively, a concentration at which there is a 5% observed inhibition effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination for endangered or threatened species (listed species), and a definitive IC50 (concentration at which there is a 50% observed inhibition effect) for assessing risk to non-listed nontarget plants. If any adverse effects are observed in a Tier I study and neither a definitive NOAEC nor a definitive IC05 value is available, then the Agency may have to presume in its effects determination, that imazethapyr "may affect" and is "likely to adversely affect" listed plant species. Registrant Response N.A. Honey bee acute oral toxicity	Study Title	Aquatic Plant Toxicity Using Lemna spp
Use Pattern T; A; C Test Substance COMMENT Time Frame 12 month(s) 11. Study is required to be conducted using the degradate CL266858 as the test substance. 24. Data are required for a duckweed species. 26. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is to establish both a definitive No Observed Adverse Effect Concentration (NOAEC), or alternatively, a concentration at which there is a 5% observed inhibition effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination for endangered or threatened species (listed species), and a definitive IC50 (concentration at which there is a 50% observed inhibition effect) for assessing risk to non-listed nontarget plants. If any adverse effects are observed in a Tier I study and neither a definitive NOAEC nor a definitive IC05 value is available, then the Agency may have to presume in its effects determination, that imazethapyr "may affect" and is "likely to adversely affect" listed plant species. Registrant Response N.A. Honey bee acute oral toxicity	Protocol	N
Test Substance COMMENT Time Frame 12 month(s) 11. Study is required to be conducted using the degradate CL266858 as the test substance. 24. Data are required for a duckweed species. 26. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is to establish both a definitive No Observed Adverse Effect Concentration (NOAEC), or alternatively, a concentration at which there is a 5% observed inhibition effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination for endangered or threatened species (listed species), and a definitive IC50 (concentration at which there is a 50% observed inhibition effect) for assessing risk to non-listed nontarget plants. If any adverse effects are observed in a Tier I study and neither a definitive NOAEC nor a definitive IC05 value is available, then the Agency may have to presume in its effects determination, that imazethapyr "may affect" and is "likely to adversely affect" listed plant species. Registrant Response N.A. Guideline Requirement Number - SS-1108 Study Title Honey bee acute oral toxicity	Target Submission Date	09/18/2016
Time Frame 12 month(s) 11. Study is required to be conducted using the degradate CL266858 as the test substance. 24. Data are required for a duckweed species. 26. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is to establish both a definitive No Observed Adverse Effect Concentration (NOAEC), or alternatively, a concentration at which there is a 5% observed inhibition effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination for endangered or threatened species (listed species), and a definitive IC50 (concentration at which there is a 50% observed inhibition effect) for assessing risk to non-listed nontarget plants. If any adverse effects are observed in a Tier I study and neither a definitive NOAEC nor a definitive IC05 value is available, then the Agency may have to presume in its effects determination, that imazethapyr "may affect" and is "likely to adversely affect" listed plant species. Registrant Response N.A. Honey bee acute oral toxicity	Use Pattern	T; A; C
11. Study is required to be conducted using the degradate CL266858 as the test substance. 24. Data are required for a duckweed species. 26. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is to establish both a definitive No Observed Adverse Effect Concentration (NOAEC), or alternatively, a concentration at which there is a 5% observed inhibition effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination for endangered or threatened species (listed species), and a definitive IC50 (concentration at which there is a 50% observed inhibition effect) for assessing risk to non-listed nontarget plants. If any adverse effects are observed in a Tier I study and neither a definitive NOAEC nor a definitive IC05 value is available, then the Agency may have to presume in its effects determination, that imazethapyr "may affect" and is "likely to adversely affect" listed plant species. Registrant Response N.A. But the degradate CL266858 as the test substance. 24. Data are required for a duckweed species. Protonote(s) 15. A Tier II study would necessitate conduction (NOAEC), or alternative II study is to establish both a definitive IC50 (concentration at which there is a 5% observed inhibition effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination at which there is a 5% observed inhibition effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination of the real study and neither a definitive IC50 (concentration at which there is a 5% observed inhibition effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination of the real study and neither a few parts and the real study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study as well. The pu	Test Substance	COMMENT
24. Data are required for a duckweed species. 26. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is to establish both a definitive No Observed Adverse Effect Concentration (NOAEC), or alternatively, a concentration at which there is a 5% observed inhibition effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination for endangered or threatened species (listed species), and a definitive IC50 (concentration at which there is a 50% observed inhibition effect) for assessing risk to non-listed nontarget plants. If any adverse effects are observed in a Tier I study and neither a definitive NOAEC nor a definitive IC05 value is available, then the Agency may have to presume in its effects determination, that imazethapyr "may affect" and is "likely to adversely affect" listed plant species. Registrant Response N.A. Guideline Requirement Number - SS-1108 Study Title Honey bee acute oral toxicity	Time Frame	12 month(s)
Guideline Requirement Number - SS-1108 Study Title Honey bee acute oral toxicity	Footnote(s)	24. Data are required for a duckweed species. 26. A Tier II study is required. A Tier I plant study may be conducted in lieu of a Tier II study with the understanding that any adverse effects observed by the Tier I study would necessitate conduct and submission of a Tier II study as well. The purpose of a Tier II study is to establish both a definitive No Observed Adverse Effect Concentration (NOAEC), or alternatively, a concentration at which there is a 5% observed inhibition effect (IC05), to be used in a risk assessment and effects determination for endangered or threatened species (listed species), and a definitive IC50 (concentration at which there is a 50% observed inhibition effect) for assessing risk to non-listed nontarget plants. If any adverse effects are observed in a Tier I study and neither a definitive NOAEC nor a definitive IC05 value is available, then the Agency may have to presume in its effects determination, that imazethapyr
Study Title Honey bee acute oral toxicity	Registrant Response	N.A.
	Guideline Requirement Numl	per - SS-1108
Protocol Y	Study Title	Honey bee acute oral toxicity
i de la companya de	Protocol	Υ

Target Submission Date	09/18/2016
Use Pattern	T; A; C
Test Substance	TGAI
Time Frame	12 month(s)
Footnote(s)	3. To be conducted with imazethapyr TGAI or imazethapyr ammonium salt TGAI. 10. Study is to be conducted with adult worker bees. 12. See the OECD 213: OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals. Honeybees, Acute Oral Toxicity Test. 213. http://lysander.sourceoecd.org/vI=5988235/cI=12/nw=1/rpsv/cgi-bin/fulltextew.pI?prpsv=/ij/oecdjournals/1607310x/v1n2/s14/p1.idx 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt of this DCI.
Registrant Response	N.A.
Guideline Requirement Number	er - SS-1155
Study Title	Residues in Pollen and Nectar/Field Residue Analysis
Protocol	Υ
Target Submission Date	09/18/2017
Use Pattern	T; A; C
Test Substance	TEP
Time Frame	24 month(s)
Footnote(s)	4. To be conducted with imazethapyr TEP or imazethapyr ammonium salt TEP. 8. The following elements should be considered when developing study protocol(s) for the monitoring of residues in pollen/nectar Consideration of the range of application methods and environmental conditions (e.g., soil and hydric regimes) that the target crop(s) may be under Consideration of the attractiveness of the selected crop to pollinators - Consideration of a collection schedule sufficient to allow for an understanding of the character of residues, in the pollen/nectar and/or plant tissues, over time Consideration of data sufficient to determine whether residues of the active ingredient and/or degradation product(s) accumulates in soil and is/are bioavailable for plant to uptake in a following planting, and therefore result in potential exposure to pollinators Consideration of the market proportion of the selected target crop(s). 18. Measurements of residues in the pollen/nectar are needed based upon lower-tiered tests and/or other lines of evidence, and the need for a refined pollinator risk assessment. 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt of this DCI.
Registrant Response	N.A.
Guideline Requirement Number	er - SS-1228
Study Title	Larval honey bee acute oral toxicity
Protocol	Υ
Target Submission Date	09/18/2016
Use Pattern	T; A; C
Test Substance	TGAI
Time Frame	12 month(s)

Footnote(s)	3. To be conducted with imazethapyr TGAI or imazethapyr ammonium salt TGAI. 9. Study is to be conducted with larval worker bees. 17. OECD Test Guideline 237 may be used to develop a protocol for this study (OECD. 2013 Guidelines for Testing Chemicals. Honey bee (Apis mellifera) larval toxicity test, single exposure.) See: http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/environment/test-no-237-honey-bee-apis-mellifera-larval-toxicity-test-single-exposure_9789264203723-en 20. In some cases, information pertaining to acute toxicity to honey bee larvae may be obtained with the chronic honey bee larvae test thereby negating the need for separate acute and chronic larval toxicity tests. 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt of this DCI.
Registrant Response	N.A.
Guideline Requirement Num	ber - SS-1253
Study Title	Larval honeybee chronic oral toxicity
Protocol	Υ
Target Submission Date	09/18/2016
Use Pattern	T; A; C
Test Substance	TGAI
Time Frame	12 month(s)
Footnote(s)	 To be conducted with imazethapyr TGAI or imazethapyr ammonium salt TGAI. Study is to be conducted with larval worker bees. OECD has not yet finalized test guidelines for chronic studies with honey bee larvae. OECD draft guidance has is being developed, see OECD 2013b. OECD Draft Guidance Document Honey Bee (Apis mellifera) Larval Toxicity Test, Repeated Exposure. http://www.oecd.org/env/ehs/testing/Draft_GD_honeybees_rep_exp_for_2nd_CR_25_Nove mber_2013.pdf In some cases, information pertaining to acute toxicity to honey bee larvae may be obtained with the chronic honey bee larvae test thereby negating the need for separate acute and chronic larval toxicity tests. A repeat dose larval toxicity study, such as that described in the 2007 OEC Guidance document on the honeybee brood test under semi-field conditions, can be used to fulfill the data requirements for both the acute and subchronic honey bee larval studies. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt of this DCI.
Registrant Response	N.A.
Guideline Requirement Num	ber - SS-1313
Study Title	Honey bee adult chronic oral toxicity
Protocol	Y
Target Submission Date	09/18/2016
Use Pattern	T; A; C
Test Substance	TGAI
Time Frame	12 month(s)

honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) brood test under semi-field conditions. Environmental Directorate Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology. ENV/JM/MONO(2007)22. 31-Aug-2007. http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/? cote=env/jm/mono(2007)22&doclanguage=en. 22. For field-feeding studies see: Oomen et al. 1992: Oomen, P. A. A. DeRuijter and J. Van der Steen. 1992. Method for honey bee brood feeding tests with insect growth-regulating insecticides. Bul OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 22: 613 - 616. 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt of this DCI. Registrant Response N.A. Submitter Information Submitter James Wagner		
Study Title Semi-field testing for pollinators (tunnel or colony feeding studies) Protocol Y Target Submission Date 09/18/2017 Use Pattern T; A; C Test Substance TEP Time Frame 24 month(s) 4. To be conducted with imazethapyr TEP or imazethapyr ammonium salt TEP. 6. The need for a semi-field test for pollinators (i.e., either a field-feeding test or a tunnel test) will be determined based upon lower-tiered tests and/or other lines of evidence, and the need for a refined pollinator risk assessment. 21. Formal guidelines for semi-field tests do not yet exist; however, information that can help guide the development of either a semi-field tunnel test protocol can be found at OECD 75, see: OECD. 2007. Series on Testing and Assessment humber 75. Guidance document on the honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) brood test under semi-field conditions. Environmental Directorate Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology. ENVJIMMONO(2007)22. 31-Aug-2007. http://www.vecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/? cotes=env/jm/mono(2007)228 doclanguage=en. 22. For field-feeding studies see: Comen et al. 1992: Oomen, P. A. A. DeRuijter and J. Van der Steen. 1992. Method for honey bee brood feeding tests with insect growth-regulating insecticides. Bull OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 22: 613 - 616. 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt of this DCI. Registrant Response N.A. Submitter Information	Footnote(s)	10. Study is to be conducted with adult worker bees. 15. OECD has not yet finalized test guidelines for chronic studies, and efforts are underway to develop standardized guidelines for assessing the effects from chronic exposure to adult and larvae in the laboratory. Discussion of the study design elements for the 10-day adult toxicity test can be found in Appendix O of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) guidance document: EFSA. Guidance on the risk assessment of plant protection products on bees (Apis mellifera, Bombus spp. and solitary bees. EFSA Journal 2013;11(7):3295, 266 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3295. Available online at: http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/doc/3295.pdf 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The
Study Title Semi-field testing for pollinators (tunnel or colony feeding studies) Protocol Y Target Submission Date 09/18/2017 Use Pattern T; A; C Test Substance TEP Time Frame 24 month(s) 4. To be conducted with imazethapyr TEP or imazethapyr ammonium salt TEP. 6. The need for a semi-field test for pollinators (i.e., either a field-feeding test or a tunnel test) will be determined based upon lower-tiered tests and/or other lines of evidence, and the need for a refined pollinator risk assessment. 21. Formal guidelines for semi-field tests do not yet exist; however, information that can help guide the development of either a semi-field tunnel test protocol can be found at OECD 75, see: OECD. 2007. Series on Testing and Assessment Number 75. Guidance document on the honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) brood test under semi-field conditions. Environmental Directorate Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology. ENVIJM/MONO(2007)22. 31-Aug-2007. http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/bublicdisplaydocumentpdf/? cote=envi/jm/mono(2007)22& doclanguage=en. 22. For field-feeding studies see: Oomen et al. 1992: Oomen, P. A. A. DeRuijter and J. Van der Steen. 1992. Method for honey bee brood feeding tests with insect growth-regulating insecticides. Bull OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 22: 613 - 616. 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt of this DCI. Registrant Response N.A. Submitter Information	Registrant Response	N.A.
Protocol Target Submission Date 09/18/2017 Use Pattern T; A; C Test Substance TEP Time Frame 24 month(s) 4. To be conducted with imazethapyr TEP or imazethapyr ammonium salt TEP. 6. The need for a semi-field test for pollinators (i.e., either a field-feeding test or a tunnel test) will be determined based upon lower-thered tests and/or other lines of evidence, and the need for a refined pollinator risk assessment 21. Formal guidelines for semi-field tests do not yet exist; however, information that can help guide the development of either a semi-field tunnel test protocol can be found at OECD 75, see: OECD. 2007. Series on Testing and Assessment Number 75. Guidance document on the honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) brood test under semi-field conditions. Environmental Directorate Joint Meeting of the Chemicals. Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology. ENV/JM/MONO(2007)22. 31-Aug-2007. http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/? cote-env/jm/mono(2007)228 doclanguage=en. 22. For field-feeding studies see: Oomen et al. 1992: Oomen, P. A. A. DeRuijter and J. Van der Steen. 1992. Method for honey bee brood feeding tests with insect growth-regulating insecticides. Bul OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 22: 613 - 616. 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt of this DCI. Registrant Response N.A. Submitter Information Submitter Information	Guideline Requirement Num	ber - SS-1319
Target Submission Date Use Pattern T; A; C Test Substance TEP Time Frame 24 month(s) 4. To be conducted with imazethapyr TEP or imazethapyr ammonium salt TEP. 6. The need for a semi-field test for pollinators (i.e., either a field-feeding test or a tunnel test) will be determined based upon lower-diered tests and/or other lines of evidence, and the need for a refined pollinator risk assessment. 21. Formal guidelines for semi-field tunnel test protocol can be found at OECD 75, see: OECD .2007. Series on Testing and Assessment Number 75. Guidance document on the honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) brood test under semi-field conditions. Environmental Directorate Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology. ENV/JM/MONO(2007)22. 31-Aug-2007. http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/? cote=env/jm/mono(2007)228. doclanguage=en. 22. For field-feeding studies see: Oomen et al. 1992: Oomen, P. A. A. DeRuijter and J. Van der Steen. 1992. Method for honey bee brood feeding tests with insect growth-regulating insecticides. Bul OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 22: 613 - 616. 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt of this DCI. Registrant Response N.A. Submitter Information Submitter James Wagner	Study Title	Semi-field testing for pollinators (tunnel or colony feeding studies)
Test Substance TEP Time Frame 24 month(s) 4. To be conducted with imazethapyr TEP or imazethapyr ammonium salt TEP. 6. The need for a semi-field test for pollinators (i.e., either a field-feeding test or a tunnel test) will be determined based upon lower-fiered tests and/or other lines of evidence, and the need for a refined pollinator risk assessment. 21. Formal guidelines for semi-field tests do not yet exist; however, information that can help guide the development of either a semi-field tunnel test protocol can be found at OECD 75, see: OECD. 2007. Series on Testing and Assessment Number 75. Guidance document on the honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) brood test under semi-field conditions. Environmental Directorate Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology. ENV/JM/MONO(2007)22. 31-Aug-2007. http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplay/documentpdf/? cote=env/jm/mono(2007)228.doclanguage=en. 22. For field-feeding studies see: Oomen et al. 1992: Oomen, P. A. A. DeRuijter and J. Van der Steen. 1992. Method for honey bee brood feeding tests with insect growth-regulating insecticides. Bull OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 22: 613 - 616. 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt of this DCI. Registrant Response N.A. Submitter Information Submitter Information	Protocol	Υ
Test Substance TEP Time Frame 24 month(s) 4. To be conducted with imazethapyr TEP or imazethapyr ammonium salt TEP. 6. The need for a semi-field test for pollinators (i.e., either a field-feeding test or a tunnel test) will be determined based upon lower-tiered tests and/or other lines of evidence, and the need for a refined pollinator risk assessment. 21. Formal guidelines for semi-field tests do not yet exist; however, information that can help guide the development of either a semi-field tunnel test protocol can be found at OECD 75, see: OECD. 2007. Series on Testing and Assessment Number 75. Guidance document on the honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) brood test under semi-field conditions. Environmental Directorate Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology. ENV/JM/MONO(2007)22. 31-Aug-2007. http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/? cote=env/jm/mono(2007)22&doclanguage=en. 22. For field-feeding studies see: Oomen et al. 1992: Oomen, P. A. A. DeRuijter and J. Van der Steen. 1992. Method for honey bee brood feeding tests with insect growth-regulating insecticides. Bul OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 22: 613 - 616. 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt of this DCI. Registrant Response N.A. Submitter Information Submitter Information	Target Submission Date	09/18/2017
Time Frame 24 month(s) 4. To be conducted with imazethapyr TEP or imazethapyr ammonium salt TEP. 6. The need for a semi-field test for pollinators (i.e., either a field-feeding test or a tunnel test) will be determined based upon lower-tiered tests and/or other lines of evidence, and the need for a refined pollinator risk assessment. 21. Formal guidelines for semi-field tests do not yet exist; however, information that can help guide the development of either a semi-field tunnel test protocol can be found at OECD 75, see: OECD. 2007. Series on Testing and Assessment Number 75. Guidance document on the honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) brood test under semi-field conditions. Environmental Directorate Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology. ENVIJM/MONO(2007)22. 31-Aug-2007. http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/? cote=env/jm/mono(2007)22&doclanguage=en. 22. For field-feeding studies see: Oomen et al. 1992: Oomen, P. A. A. DeRuijter and J. Van der Steen. 1992. Method for honey bee brood feeding tests with insect growth-regulating insecticides. Bul OEPF/EPPO Bulletin 22: 613 - 616. 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt of this DCI. Registrant Response N.A. Submitter Information Submitter James Wagner	Use Pattern	T; A; C
4. To be conducted with imazethapyr TEP or imazethapyr ammonium salt TEP. 6. The need for a semi-field test for pollinators (i.e., either a field-feeding test or a tunnel test) will be determined based upon lower-tiered tests and/or other lines of evidence, and the need for a refined pollinator risk assessment. 21. Formal guidelines for semi-field tests do not yet exist; however, information that can help guide the development of either a semi-field tunnel test protocol can be found at OECD 75, see: OECD. 2007. Series on Testing and Assessment Number 75. Guidance document on the honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) brood test under semi-field conditions. Environmental Directorate Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology. ENV/JM/MONO(2007)22. 31-Aug-2007. http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/? cote=env/jm/mono(2007)22&doclanguage=en. 22. For field-feeding studies see: Oomen et al. 1992: Oomen, P. A. A. DeRuijter and J. Van der Steen. 1992. Method for honey bee brood feeding tests with insect growth-regulating insecticides. Bul OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 22: 613 - 616. 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt of this DCI. Registrant Response N.A. Submitter Information Submitter James Wagner	Test Substance	TEP
6. The need for a semi-field test for pollinators (i.e., either a field-feeding test or a tunnel test) will be determined based upon lower-tiered tests and/or other lines of evidence, and the need for a refined pollinator risk assessment. 21. Formal guidelines for semi-field tests do not yet exist; however, information that can help guide the development of either a semi-field tunnel test protocol can be found at OECD 75, see: OECD. 2007. Series on Testing and Assessment Number 75. Guidance document on the honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) brood test under semi-field conditions. Environmental Directorate Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology. ENV/JM/MONO(2007)22. 31-Aug-2007. http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/? cote=env/jm/mono(2007)22&doclanguage=en. 22. For field-feeding studies see: Oomen et al. 1992: Oomen, P. A. A. DeRuijter and J. Van der Steen. 1992. Method for honey bee brood feeding tests with insect growth-regulating insecticides. Bul OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 22: 613 - 616. 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The draft protocol must be submitted to the Agency within 90 days of receipt of this DCI. Registrant Response N.A. Submitter Information Submitter James Wagner	Time Frame	24 month(s)
Submitter Information Submitter James Wagner	Footnote(s)	6. The need for a semi-field test for pollinators (i.e., either a field-feeding test or a tunnel test) will be determined based upon lower-tiered tests and/or other lines of evidence, and the need for a refined pollinator risk assessment. 21. Formal guidelines for semi-field tests do not yet exist; however, information that can help guide the development of either a semi-field tunnel test protocol can be found at OECD 75, see: OECD. 2007. Series on Testing and Assessment Number 75. Guidance document on the honey bee (Apis mellifera L.) brood test under semi-field conditions. Environmental Directorate Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology. ENV/JM/MONO(2007)22. 31-Aug-2007. http://www.oecd.org/officialdocuments/publicdisplaydocumentpdf/? cote=env/jm/mono(2007)22&doclanguage=en. 22. For field-feeding studies see: Oomen et al. 1992: Oomen, P. A. A. DeRuijter and J. Van der Steen. 1992. Method for honey bee brood feeding tests with insect growth-regulating insecticides. Bul OEPP/EPPO Bulletin 22: 613 - 616. 25. A study protocol must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA prior to study initiation. The
Submitter James Wagner	Registrant Response	N.A.
	Submitter Information	
Outless 14 - d D - d - 00/00/004.0	Submitter	James Wagner
Submitted Date U9/20/2016	Submitted Date	09/20/2016

SHARDA USA L.L.C.

P.O. BOX 640 ,HOCKESSIN, DELAWARE 19707 ,USA PHONE : OFF: 001 302 234 8550

FAX: 001 302 234 7570



November 4, 2010

Office of Pesticide Programs (7504P)
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20460

Re: Sharda USA LUC Company Number 83529

Designation of Agent

Dear Sir or Madam:

This letter serves as notification that Sharda USA LLC has appointed Wagner Regulatory Associates, Inc. (WRA, Inc.) to serve as its Agent on our company's behalf regarding all state and federal regulatory matters relative to all products that Sharda USA has registered or will register in future with US EPA and/or states. Please forward all correspondence for Sharda USA and its products to:

Wagner Regulatory Associates, Inc. P.O. Box 640 Hockessin, DE 19707-0640

This appointment is effective until revoked in writing by Sharda USA. Thank you for your time and assistance. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

Ashish R. Bubna

Director

Federal Express

September 20, 2016

Document Processing Desk (DCI/PRD) ATTN: Katherine St. Clair U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Pesticide Programs (7508P) Room S-4900, One Potomac Yard 2777 South Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202-4501 Wagner Regulatory Associates, Inc.
P.O. Box 640
7217 Lancaster Pike, Suite A
Hockessin, Delaware 19707

Dear Ms. St. Clair;

Subject: Imazethapyr Technical – EPA Registration Number 82633-23 Response to Data Call-In ID #GDCI-128922-1549

Wagner Regulatory Associates, Inc., as agent for Sharda Cropchem Limited, submits the 90-day response for the generic Data Call-In for the above referenced product containing Imazethapyr (PC code 128922). In support of this request, the following documents and reports are attached:

- Letter from Sharda Cropchem Limited appointing Wagner Regulatory Associates, Inc. as its agent
- Application for Pesticide Registration (8570-1)
- Data Call-In Response form
- Requirements Status and Registrants Response form
- Certification with Respect to Citation of Data form (8570-34)
- Confidential Statement of Formula (8570-4)
- Certification to Enter Into Agreement with Registrants for Development of Data (850-32)
- Copies of Offer to Pay letters submitted to registrants

If you need to contact me about this submission I can be reached by email and telephone as noted below. Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Respectfully submitted,

Cheryl Wagner

Agent for Sharda CropChem Limited

Charge & Wagne

Tel: 302-635-72890

email: cheryl@wagnerreg.com

Enclosures

Form Approved	OMB No	2070-0060	Annroval	evnires	05-31-	aa.
FUIIII Appiuved	. CIVID ING.	. 2070-0000.	Appiovai	expires	00-01-	.90

	Registration	OPP Identifier Number
	Amendment	
/	Other	

&EPA En	□ CDA United States				Amendm	ent			
Washington, DC 20460					J110				
			5 41.11		V	Other			
4. Common /Droduct Number	Appli	cation fo	r Pesticide				I o r	luan agad Olas	aifi aati aa
1. Company/Product Number			2. EPA Pro		ianage	er	3. P	roposed Clas	sification
82633-23				arvie			4		
4. Company/Product (Name)			PM#				X	None	Restricted
Imazethapyr Technical			24		_		<u> </u>		- () (-)
5. Name and Address of Applic	cant (<i>Include Zip Cod</i>	le)				In accordance lar or identica			
Sharda Cropchem Limited	vaniatas Ina		to:						9
c/o Wagner Regulatory Ass P.O. Box 640	ociates, inc.					45 and 11603 napyr TGAI ar		n Imazethapv	r Technical
Hockessin, DE 19707									
☐ Check if	this is a new address								
		Se	ection - II						
Amendment - Explain belo	DW.		□ Age	ency lette	er dated	s in response to d			
Resubmission in response	e to Agency letter dated		"Me	e Too" Ap	oplication	on.			
Notification - Explain below	W.		✓ Oth	er - Expl	lain bel	OW.			
Explanation: Use additional pa	age(s) if necessary.	(For Section	I and Section	II.)					
90-Day Response to GDCI ID #	¢GDCI-128922-1549								
		So	ection - III						
Material This Product Will	Be Packaged In:	36	CHOII - III						
	Unit Packaging		Water Soluble	Packag	ging	2. Type of 0	Contain	er	
Yes*	Yes		Yes				Metal		
X No	X No		χ No			X	Plastic		
	If "Yes"	· .	If "Yes"	No.			Glass		
* Certification must	Unit Packaging wgt.	container	Package wgt	cont	ainer		Paper	(O :() UDF	SE !:
be submitted							Other ((Specify) HDF	PE lined bags
3. Location of Net Contents Inf	ormation	4. Size(s)	Retail Containe	er	5.	Location of I	abel D	irections	
X Label Conta	ainer	Bulk				X On Lal	oel		
						On La	peling a	accompanying	g product
6. Manner in Which Label is Af	fixed to Product	Lit	thograph			Otheradhe	sive ba	acked label	
		X Pa	aper glued		_				
Stenciled									
		Se	ction - IV	'					
Contact Point (Complete iter			n of individual t	to be co	ntacte			•	•
Name Title Cheryl Wagner Agent for Shar			a Cropchem Limited (302) 63				(Include Area	Code)	
Certification 6. Date Application									
I certify that the statements I have made on this form and all attachments thereto are true, accurate and complete. I acknowledge that any knowingly false or misleading statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment or									
both under applicable law.	Taise of Misiedaling state	omont may b	o partionable by t	11110 01 111	трпоот	inone or		(Stampe	d)
2. Signature 3.		3. Title	Title						
AG AG		Agent for	Sharda Cropch	nem Lim	nited				
Cherry & a	Sagne								
9	-								
4. Typed Name		5. Date							
CHERYL WAGNER		Septembe	er 20, 2016						



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

Paperwork Reduction Act Notice: The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1.25 hours per response for registration and 0.25 hours per response for reregistration and special review activities, including time for reading the instructions and completing the necessary forms. Send comments regarding burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden to: Director, Collection Strategies Division (2822T), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20460. Do not send the completed form

Strategies Division (2822T), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20460. Do not send the completed form to this address.						
Certification with Respect to Citation of Data						
Applicant's/Registrant's Name, Address, and Telephone Number Sharda Cropchem Ltd., c/o Wagner Regulatory Associates, Inc. P.O.Box 640, Hoc	EPA Registration Number/File Symbol 82633-23					
Active Ingredient(s) and/or representative test compound(s) Imazethapyr		Date September 20, 2016				
General Use Pattern(s) (list all those claimed for this product using 40 CFR Part 158) Terrestrial Food Use Product Name Imazethapyr Technical						
NOTE: If your product is a 100% repackaging of another purchased EPA-registere submit this form. You must submit the Formulator's Exemption Statement (EPA Form		r all the same uses on your label, you do not need to				
I am responding to a Data-Call-In Notice, and have included with this form a be used for this purpose).	list of companies se	nt offers of compensation (the Data Matrix form should				
SECTION I: METHOD OF DATA SUPP	ORT (Check one m	ethod only)				
I am using the cite-all method of support, and have included with this form a list of companies sent offers of compensation (the Data Matrix form should be used for this purpose).	a list of companies sent offers of compensation (the Data Matrix form under the selective method), and have included with this form a					
SECTION II: GENERAL	OFFER TO PAY					
[Required if using the cite-all method or when using the cite-all option under the select	tive method to satisf	y one or more data requirements]				
I hereby offer and agree to pay compensation, to other persons, with regard to	the approval of this	application, to the extent required by FIFRA.				
SECTION III: CERT	IFICATION					
I certify that this application for registration, this form for reregistration, or this Data-Call-In response is supported by all data submitted or cited in the application for registration, the form for reregistration, or the Data-Call-In response. In addition, if the cite-all option or cite-all option under the selective method is indicated in Section I, this application is supported by all data in the Agency's files that (1) concern the properties or effects of this product or an identical or substantially similar product, or one or more of the ingredients in this product; and (2) is a type of data that would be required to be submitted under the data requirements in effect on the date of approval of this application if the application sought the initial registration of a product of identical or similar composition and uses .						
I certify that for each exclusive use study cited in support of this registration the written permission of the original data submitter to cite that study.	I certify that for each exclusive use study cited in support of this registration or reregistration, that I am the original data submitter or that I have obtained the written permission of the original data submitter to cite that study.					
I certify that for each study cited in support of this registration or reregistration that is not an exclusive use study, either: (a) I am the original data submitter; (b) I have obtained the permission of the original data submitter to use the study in support of this application; (c) all periods of eligibility for compensation have expired for the study; (d) the study is in the public literature; or (e) I have notified in writing the company that submitted the study and have offered (I) to pay compensation to the extent required by sections 3(c)(1)(F) and/or 3(c)(2)(B) of FIFRA; and (ii) to commence negotiations to determine the amount and terms of compensation, if any, to be paid for the use of the study.						
I certify that in all instances where an offer of compensation is required, copies of all offers to pay compensation and evidence of their delivery in accordance with sections 3(c)(1)(F) and/or 3(c)(2)(B) of FIFRA are available and will be submitted to the Agency upon request. Should I fail to produce such evidence to the Agency upon request, I understand that the Agency may initiate action to deny, cancel or suspend the registration of my product in conformity with FIFRA.						
I certify that the statements I have made on this form and all attachm knowingly false or misleading statement may be punishable by fine or impriso						
Signature	Date	Typed or Printed Name and Title				
	9/20/2016	Cheryl Wagner, Agent (Tel: 302-635-7289)				





United States Environmental Protection Agency Washington, D.C. 20460 CERTIFICATION OF ATTEMPT TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH REGISTRANTS FOR DEVELOPMENT OF DATA

Form Approved.

OMB Nos. 2070-0057; 2070-0107; 2070-0122; 2070-0164

Paperwork Reduction Act Notice: The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response including time for reading the instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden to: Director, Collection Strategies Division (2822T), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20460. Do not send the form to this address.

Please fill in blanks below

Company Name Sharda CropChem Limited	Company Number 82633
Chemical Name Imazethapyr	EPA Chemical Number 128922

I Certify that:

My company is willing to develop and submit the data required by EPA under the authority of the Federal Insecticide, Rodenticide and Fungicide Act (FIFRA), if necessary. However, my company would prefer to enter into an agreement with one or more registrants to develop jointly or share in the cost of developing data.

My firm has offered in writing to enter into such an agreement. That offer was irrevocable and included an offer to be bound by arbitration under section 3(c)(2)(B)(iii) of FIFRA if final agreement on all terms could not be reached otherwise. This offer was made to the following firm(s) on the following date(s):

Name of Firm(s)	Date of Offer
BASF Corporation Adama Agan Ltd.	January 14, 2015 January 14, 2015
Albaugh, LLC	January 14, 2015

Certification:

I certify that I am duly authorized to represent the company name above, and that the statements that I have made on this form and all attachments therein are true, accurate and complete. I acknowledge that any knowingly false or misleading statement may be punishable by fine or imprisonment or both under applicable law.

	Date 9/20/2016	
-		

Name and Title (Please Print or Type)

Cheryl Wagner, Agent for Sharda CropChem Limited

EPA Form 8570-32 (12-2003)



January 14, 2015

Albaugh Inc. P.O. Box 2127 Valdosta, GA 31064

Re: Offer to Pay

Dear Sir or Madam:

Sharda Cropchem Ltd. is submitting a registration application to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") for the product "Imazethapyr Technical" containing imazethapyr as the active ingredient. This application relies on the cite-all method of support to satisfy generic data requirements. Your company is listed on the most recent EPA "Data Submitters List" for this active ingredient.

In accordance with the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act ("FIFRA") Section 3(c)(1)(F), Sharda Cropchem Ltd. hereby offers to pay compensation after the approval of this application, to the extent required by Section 3(c)(1)(F) for specific and valid data for which your company is identified by the EPA as an original data submitter and on which this application relies. Sharda Cropchem Ltd. offers to begin negotiations to determine which data are subject to the compensation regulations of FIFRA and the amount and terms of the compensation, if any, to be paid for any such data.

Further, if there are any studies that are in progress and that you are required to submit to EPA in response to a pending data call-in for imazethapyr, Sharda Cropchem Ltd. hereby offers to jointly develop or share in the cost of developing such studies to the extent required by FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B).

For each study for which EPA considers your company to be an original data submitter and for which you seek compensation, please identify the data items and any terms of compensation that you propose.

Sincerely,

James M. Wagner

Someto M Wagner

Agent for Sharda Cropchem Ltd.



January 14, 2015

Makhteshim Agan of North America, Inc. 3120 Highwoods Blvd., Suite 100 Raleigh, NC 27604

Re: Offer to Pay

Dear Sir or Madam:

Sharda Cropchem Ltd. is submitting a registration application to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") for the product "Imazethapyr Technical" containing imazethapyr as the active ingredient. This application relies on the cite-all method of support to satisfy generic data requirements. Your company is listed on the most recent EPA "Data Submitters List" for this active ingredient.

In accordance with the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act ("FIFRA") Section 3(c)(1)(F), Sharda Cropchem Ltd. hereby offers to pay compensation after the approval of this application, to the extent required by Section 3(c)(1)(F) for specific and valid data for which your company is identified by the EPA as an original data submitter and on which this application relies. Sharda Cropchem Ltd. offers to begin negotiations to determine which data are subject to the compensation regulations of FIFRA and the amount and terms of the compensation, if any, to be paid for any such data.

Further, if there are any studies that are in progress and that you are required to submit to EPA in response to a pending data call-in for imazethapyr, Sharda Cropchem Ltd. hereby offers to jointly develop or share in the cost of developing such studies to the extent required by FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B).

For each study for which EPA considers your company to be an original data submitter and for which you seek compensation, please identify the data items and any terms of compensation that you propose.

Sincerely,

James M. Wagner

Jones M Wagner

Agent for Sharda Cropchem Ltd.



January 14, 2015

Agan Chem Mfg, Ltd. 3120 Highwoods Blvd., Suite 100 Raleigh, NC 27604

Re: Offer to Pay

Dear Sir or Madam:

Sharda Cropchem Ltd. is submitting a registration application to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") for the product "Imazethapyr Technical" containing imazethapyr as the active ingredient. This application relies on the cite-all method of support to satisfy generic data requirements. Your company is listed on the most recent EPA "Data Submitters List" for this active ingredient.

In accordance with the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act ("FIFRA") Section 3(c)(1)(F), Sharda Cropchem Ltd. hereby offers to pay compensation after the approval of this application, to the extent required by Section 3(c)(1)(F) for specific and valid data for which your company is identified by the EPA as an original data submitter and on which this application relies. Sharda Cropchem Ltd. offers to begin negotiations to determine which data are subject to the compensation regulations of FIFRA and the amount and terms of the compensation, if any, to be paid for any such data.

Further, if there are any studies that are in progress and that you are required to submit to EPA in response to a pending data call-in for imazethapyr, Sharda Cropchem Ltd. hereby offers to jointly develop or share in the cost of developing such studies to the extent required by FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B).

For each study for which EPA considers your company to be an original data submitter and for which you seek compensation, please identify the data items and any terms of compensation that you propose.

Sincerely,

James M. Wagner

Jones M Wagner

Agent for Sharda Cropchem Ltd.



January 14, 2015

BASF Corporation
P.O. Box 13528
26 Davis Drive
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Re: Offer to Pay

Dear Sir or Madam:

Sharda Cropchem Ltd. is submitting a registration application to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") for the product "Imazethapyr Technical" containing imazethapyr as the active ingredient. This application relies on the cite-all method of support to satisfy generic data requirements. Your company is listed on the most recent EPA "Data Submitters List" for this active ingredient.

In accordance with the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act ("FIFRA") Section 3(c)(I)(F), Sharda Cropchem Ltd. hereby offers to pay compensation after the approval of this application, to the extent required by Section 3(c)(I)(F) for specific and valid data for which your company is identified by the EPA as an original data submitter and on which this application relies. Sharda Cropchem Ltd. offers to begin negotiations to determine which data are subject to the compensation regulations of FIFRA and the amount and terms of the compensation, if any, to be paid for any such data.

Further, if there are any studies that are in progress and that you are required to submit to EPA in response to a pending data call-in for imazethapyr, Sharda Cropchem Ltd. hereby offers to jointly develop or share in the cost of developing such studies to the extent required by FIFRA Section 3(c)(2)(B).

For each study for which EPA considers your company to be an original data submitter and for which you seek compensation, please identify the data items and any terms of compensation that you propose.

Sincerely,

James M. Wagner

Agent for Sharda Cropchem Ltd.

Page 1 of 1

United States Environmental Protection Agency

	OMB Approval 2070-0174 EPA FORM 6300-4						
INSTRUCTIONS: Please to Use additional sheet(s) if		ead carefully th	e attached instructions a	and supply the information requested on	this form.		
1. Company Name and Address SHARDA CROPCHEM LIMITED P.O. Box 640 HOCKESSIN, DE 19707			Case # and Name N/A - Imazethapyr Chemical # and Name: 128922 Imazethapyr			3. Date and Type of DCI and Number 18-Sep-2015 GENERIC ID # GDCI-128922-1549	
4. EPA Product Registration	5. I w ish to cancel this product registration voluntarily	6. Generic Data		7. Produ	7. Product Specific Data		
		Exemption b active ingre	ming a Generic Data ecause I obtain the dient from the source tion number listed	6b. I agree to satisfy Generic Data Requirements as indicated on the attached form entitled "Requirements Status and Registrant's Response."	7a. My product is an MUP and I agree to satisfy the MUP requirement on the attached form entitled "Requirements Status and Registrant's Response."		7b. My product is an EUP and I agree to satisfy the EUP requirement on the attached form entitled "Requirements Status and Registrant's Response."
82633-23				X		N/A	N/A
know ingly false or mislea	that the statements made of ding statement may be pun npany's Authorized Repres	ishable by fine	imprisonment or both ur	e, accurate, and complete. I acknow ledge nder applicable law .	e that any		Date
10. Name of Company							. Phone Number

United States Environmental Protection Agency Washington, D.C. 20460

REQUIREMENTS STATUS AND REGISTRANT'S RESPONSE

OMB Approval 2070-0174 EPA FORM 6300-3

INSTRUCTIONS: Please type or print in ink. Please read carefully the attached instructions and supply the information requested on this form. Use additional sheet(s) if necessary. 1. Company Name and Address 2. Case # and Name 3. Date and Type of DCI and Number 18-Sep-2015 N/A - Imazethapyr SHARDA CROPCHEM LIMITED GENERIC Chemical # and Name: 128922 P.O. Box 640 ID # GDCI-128922-1549 Imazethapyr HOCKESSIN, DE 19707 8. Time 6 Use 7 Test 9. Registrant 4 Guideline Progress 5. Study Title R Frame Substance Response Requirement Reports Pattern 0 (Months) Number T 0 C 0 1 2 3 Nontarget Plant Protection Data Requirements (Conventional Chemical) T,C,A TEP 12 850.4100 Seedling Emergence and Seedling Growth N (5, 23, 27) 3 T,C,A TEP 12 850.4150 Vegetative Vigor (5, 23, 27) N 3 T,C,A COMMENT 12 Aquatic Plant Toxicity Using Lemna spp (11, 24, 26) N 850,4400 3 Terrestrial and Aquatic Nontarget Organisms Data Requirements (Conventional Chemical)

N

Y

(2, 3, 10, 14)

(1, 4, 7, 13,

(3, 10, 12,

25)

T,C,A

T.C.A

T,C,A

10. Certification: I certify that the statements made on this form and all attachments are true, accurate, and complete. I acknow ledge that any know ingly false or misleading statement may be punishable by fine, imprisonment or both under applicable law.

11. Date

TGAI

TEP

TGAI

Signature and Title of Company's Authorized Representative _

Honey bee acute contact toxicity

Field testing for pollinators

Honey bee acute oral toxicity

850.3020

850.3040

SS-1108

Agent for Sharda CropChem Ltd.

9/20/2016

3

3

3

12. Name of Company Sharda CropChem Limited

13. Phone Number

302-635-7289

12

24

12

United States Environmental Protection Agency Washington, D.C. 20460

OMB Approval 2070-0174 EPA FORM 6300-3

EPA FORM 6300-3 REQUIREMENTS STATUS AND REGISTRANT'S RESPONSE INSTRUCTIONS: Please type or print in ink. Please read carefully the attached instructions and supply the information requested on this form. Use additional sheet(s) if necessary. 1. Company Name and Address 2. Case # and Name 3. Date and Type of DCI and Number 18-Sep-2015 SHARDA CROPCHEM LIMITED N/A - Imazethapyr GENERIC P.O. Box 640 Chemical # and Name: 128922 ID # GDCI-128922-1549 Imazethapyr HOCKESSIN, DE 19707 8. Time 9. Registrant 4. Guideline 6 Use 7 Test Progress 5. Study Title R Substance Frame Response Reports Pattern Requirement Number 0 (Months) T 0 C 0 1 2 3 3 T,C,A TEP SS-1155 Residues in Pollen and Nectar/Field Residue Analysis Y 24 (4, 8, 18, 25) Υ T,C,A **TGAI** 12 3 SS-1228 Larval honey bee acute oral toxicity (3, 9, 17, 20, T,C,A 12 Larval honeybee chronic oral toxicity (3, 9, 16, 19, TGAI SS-1253 3 3 SS-1313 Honey bee adult chronic oral toxicity Y T,C,A TGAI 12 (3, 10, 15, 3 T,C,A TEP 24 SS-1319 Semi-field testing for pollinators (tunnel or colony (4, 6, 21, 22, feeding studies)